REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE V

The argument FOR Measure V has 3 main reasons.

(1) The mayor is only 50% fully effective because of spending so much time getting re-elected

Rebuttal:

Two-year mayoral terms are the dominant voting form for small cities throughout California because the public trusts that approach for accountability.

A mayor could not be consistently re-elected every 2 years if less than fully (100%) effective. Examples: Dan Albert of Monterey (20 years) and our current mayor (8 years).

(2) *Lack of stability and consistency*

Rebuttal:

Our Mayor has ***consistently*** been re-elected providing **8** years of stability and consistency. Two year terms do not restrict consistency if a mayor is effective.

(3) Too much Campaigning, Partisanship and Fundraising Rebuttal:

When Ken Gray was re-elected to council (2008), he did not campaign, raise money or put out yard signs. He returned unsolicited donations. He received more votes than all others. Quality, vision and integrity alone were good enough for the voters.

The advantages of incumbency increase with each re-election.

There is no reason why a popular mayor could not follow the above example. Since all other Marina candidates (Council and Water District) are unopposed, partisanship is at a low point.

Measure V removes the power of Marina citizens to change the composition and focus of our council every two years. It vests the power in the council not the citizens. Do you want professional politicians or the people to determine our future? Vote NO on V.

08-24-16P01:34 RCVD

California Elections Code – Ballot Arguments, Form of Statement to be filed

§§9164, 9283, 9501.5 A ballot argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by the printed name and signature or printed names and signatures of the person or persons submitting it, or, if submitted on behalf of an organization, the name of the organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument submitted under this article. In case any argument is signed by more than five persons, the signatures of the first five shall be printed.

REQUIRED FORM STATEMENT

§9600. All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to this division shall be accompanied by the following form statement, to be ***signed by each proponent and by each author, if different***, of the argument:

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the REBUTTAL to (primary/rebuttal) argument IN FAVOR (in favor of/against)
ballot proposition V (name or number) at the GENERAL MUNICIPAL (title of election) election for the City of MARINA (name of district/jurisdiction)
to be held on 8 NOV 2016 (date) hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of HIS (his/her/their) knowledge and belief.

Signatures of Proponents (in order of appearance):

(1) Printed Name MICHAEL M. OWEN Title (one) RETIRED FEDERAL EMPLOYEE
Signed Michael M. Owen Date 22 AUGUST 2016

(2) Printed Name James E. Perrine Title (one) Mayor of Marina
Signed James E Perrine Date 1998 - 2002
8/23/16

(3) Printed Name GAELY JABLONSKI Title (one) Instructional Specialist
Signed Gaely Jablonski Date 23 AUGUST 2016
CITY CLERK OF MARINA

(4) Printed Name JOY P JUNSAY Title (one) 1989 - 2010
Signed Joy P Junsay Date 23 AUGUST 2016
MAYOR OF MARINA

(5) Printed Name EDITH V JOHNSEN Title (one) 1990 - 1993
Signed Edith V. Johnsen Date 24 AUGUST 2016

Authors (if different from Proponents above):

(1) Printed Name _____ Title (one) _____
Signed _____ Date _____

(2) Printed Name _____ Title (one) _____
Signed _____ Date _____

Author(s) Contact Information: MICHAEL M. OWEN 3247 ESTRELLA DEL MAR WAY, MARINA, 93933
MOO.OWEN58@GMAIL.COM (831) 883-1008

AUTHORIZATION FORM FOR CHANGE IN SIGNER, PREPARER, OR SUBMITTER, OF REBUTTAL